

May 18, 1987

LB 536

SENATOR PIRSCH: I am not dealing with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, okay.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I am dealing with shoplifting which I am more familiar with.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, excuse me. Whew. I'm thinking...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, I have...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Wait, oh, no, that's good, you can't improve on that.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...I have seen it, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You can't improve on that. She is dealing with shoplifting with which she is most familiar. But, in reality, the situation is that I think a bigger amount is lost through employee theft than there is through shoplifting. And they have had programs on television about this. The merchants know it and they are not going to recoup that money from their employees. But this is a way to try to circumvent the system of protection that people are supposed to have under the law and create a bastardized system whereby a person acquitted of an offense can still be sued and made to pay money.

PRESIDENT: Time is up.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I am trying to prevent that with my amendment.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman...

PRESIDENT: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I would like to get a call of the house.

PRESIDENT: All right. The question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 6 ayes, 2 nays to go under call,